

INDEX: ☐ YES ☒ NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 50-6-22/4 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE ☒ TOTAL COPIES 30

REF TO FILE NO.

FILE RID ☒ REF. TO BRANCH ☐

DESTROY ☐ 216. 200-81170

SECRET

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1		5	
2		6	
3		7	
4		8	

ACTION

843

☒ RID COPY

☐ ADVANCE COPY

☐ ISSUED

☐ SLOTTED

☐ TUBED

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

WHI

FILE W, EUR 8, SR 8, C/OPS, ELIM 2

SECRET 151600Z CITE OTTAWA 4135
MEXICO CITY INFO DIRECTOR
REDCOAT
REF A MEXICO CITY 0121 (IN 88248)
MEXICO CITY 9919 (IN 77928)

APPROVED
15 FEB 67 01388
ABSTRACT
WHI

LOCAL LNERGO REP PASSED REF INFO RE LOWRY TO SMABONE 13 FEB 67.
SECRET
BT

SECRET

KIFENT
50-6-32/4
15 FEB 67

INDEX ☒ YES ☐ NO 506-74
CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 50-28-1 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 41 000000087
X-REF TO FILE NO.
FILE RID ☒ RET. TO BRANCH ☐
DESTROY ☐ SIG. ☒ SECRET
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED
REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED
ACTION DISSEM BY AP
U.H.P.
ADVANCE COPY
☒ RID COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLAYED ☐ TUBED
UNIT TIME BY
INFO
FILE, U.R. C/H-Z, EUR8 S.B.P. U.H/COG-8, C/H/OPS FI, FI/INT

SECRET 272155Z CITE MEXICO CITY 2121

DIRECTOR INFO OTTAWA

REDCOAT

PEF: MEXICO CITY 9919 (PLS RELAY TO OTTAWA)

MEXI
MICROFILMED

APR 14 1967

DOC. MICRO. SER.

AN	PS	U.H/1
PS	DESE	
ABSTRACT	X	INDEX
33242		
U.H/1		
ABSTRACT	X	INDEX

1. PER LIFEAT DON LOWRY AGAIN CALLED LEONOV 19 JAN

IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS FROM CANADA. LOWRY HAD BEEN UNABLE
TO CONTACT [REDACTED] BUT LEONOV SAID HE
COULD NOT HELP HIM AND THAT HE SHOULD KEEP TRYING.

2. LOCAL LNERGO HAS RECORD OF ONE DONALD ROBERT LOWRY,

BORN 25 NOV 1914 OR 1915 IN WALKERVILLE OR WINDSOR, ONTARIO.

LOCAL LNERGO IS NOTIFYING THEIR REPRESENTATIVES IN
WASHINGTON AND OTTAWA TO SEE IF LOWRY STILL ON WANTED LIST

3. STATION HAS LIONION PHOTO OF MAN

ON 9 JAN AND SHOWS SOME RESEMBLANCE TO VERY OLD
LNERGO PHOTO OF DONALD ROBERT LOWRY. CAN POUCH PRINTS IF
OTTAWA STATION WISHES.

4. SUBSTANCE OF THE ABOVE AND INFO IN PEF MAY BE
PASSED TO SMAROVES, DISGUIISING SOURCE. REQUEST OTTAWA STATION
COORDINATE ANY ACTION WITH LNERGO.

SECRET

SECRET

MICROFILMED
MAR 7 1967
DOC. MICRO. SER.

50-6-34/4-
50-6-34/4
27 JAN 67

ACTION: YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CLASSIFIED MESSAGE E		TOTAL COPIES 29	15
CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. S-1-G-3-2		SECRET		REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED	
REF TO FILE NO.		PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED		1	
FILE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> REF. TO BRANCH <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				2	
DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> SIG. gjk/mc				3	
				4	
ACTION Pursuantly 31		ADVANCE COPY		UNIT	TIME
WHP		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RID COPY			
		<input type="checkbox"/> ISSUED <input type="checkbox"/> SLOTTED <input type="checkbox"/> TUBED			
FILED SBP WHP/COE P c/lors					

S F C P E T 132130Z CITE MEXICO CITY
DIRECTOR
RDCOAT

99 MEN 100-77938
MICROFILMED
FEB 21 1967 / 3 JAN
DOC. MICRO. SER.

1. LIFEAT REPORTS THAT MAN GIVING HIS NAME AS DON
* LOWPY (PHONETIC), EVIDENTLY AMERICAN, WAS IN CONTACT WITH
SOVIET PRESS ATTACHE LEONOV 271-132139 ON 9 JAN AND ALSO WITH
CUBAN CULTURAL ATTACHE RICARDO ESCARTIN FERNANDEZ SAME DAY.
LOWPY SAID HE HAD NEWSPAPER AND MAGAZINE EXPERIENCE AND HAD
ALSO BEEN RADIO AND TELEVISION ANNOUNCER. ESCARTIN FERNANDEZ
HAD AGREED TO SEND HIS LETTER AND WRITING SAMPLES TO CUBA
IN NEXT POUCH AND TOLD HIM CHANCES WERE MAYBE TWENTY PERCENT,
EVIDENTLY OF HIS GETTING A JOB THERE. LOWPY HAS TO WAIT
AROUND MEXICO FOR TWO WEEKS, APPARENTLY UNTIL HE HEARS FROM
CUBA.

2. LEONOV WAS NON-COMMITTAL BUT SAID HE WOULD INTRODUCE LOWRY TO SOVIET CONSUL ON 10 JAN. CONVERSATION INDICATES THAT LOWRY HAD FIRST ASKED LEONOV ABOUT A JOB AND LEONOV HAD SUGGESTED HE TRY THE CUBAN EMBASSY.

3. REQUEST CABLED HEADQUARTERS TRACES. INFORMING
LOCAL LNERGO.

S F C P F T
BT

SECRET

NO HQS TRACES

50-6-324
13 JAN 1977

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET	
TO	Chief, IA Division		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of Station, Mexico City		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Fort au Prince <i>4118C</i>		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
			MICROFILM

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

PROCESSING ACTION

MARKED FOR INDEXING

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

ONLY QUALIFIED DESA
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

MICROFILM

TO Deputy Chief WH/C

INFO Chief, WH Division

FROM Chief of Station, Mexico City

SUBJECT TYPIC/LIFEAT/Transmittal of Traffic of Subject of [] and []

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Attached as A are copies of traffic covering the Subject of [] for the period 1, 2, 4, and 7 June 1966. This traffic was provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.
2. Attached as B are copies of traffic covering [] for the period 30 May - 12 June 1966. This traffic was also provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.
3. Attachments are being forwarded KAPOK and under separate cover.

Willard C. Curtis
for Willard C. CURTIS

Attachments:

A & B a/s KAPOK u/s/c

Distribution:

- ✓ - DCHH/C w/atts u/s/c
- 1 - C/WHH w/o atts
- 4 - FILES

LIFEAT
CB
DEFERRED

50-232/4

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

DATE

HMMA-29211

16 June 1966

CLASSIFICATION


WCS FILE NUMBER


SECRET


50-A-12/1

28


DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief of Station, Port-au-Prince	SECRET	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	✓ Chief, ID	HMM	<input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Port-au-Prince		<input type="checkbox"/> ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
			<input type="checkbox"/> MICROFILM

























DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief of Station, Santo Domingo		MARKED FOR INDEXING XXXX NO INDEXING REQUIRED ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING MICROFILM
INFO	Chief, WH Division		
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		
SUBJECT • LIFEAT Coverage of [redacted] Mexico City			
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES			
<p>Attached for Addressees' information and retention are copies of the transcripts of the LIFEAT coverage of the [redacted] in Mexico City, reels 21 through 34 for the period 2 to 9 May 1966.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachments: As stated above, h/w</p> <p>Distribution: 2 - COS, Santo Domingo, w/atts ① - Chief, WHD, w/atts</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Robert</i> <i>CP</i></p>			
1 att CROSS REFERENCE TO		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER MMMA-28943 CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DATE 50-6 32/4 10 May 1966 MCS FILE NUMBER 50-9-3






183-













11

11































2014-2015







1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1


1

1



1















































DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET/KAPOK

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	DCWHD/C	XX	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WH Division		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	TYPIC/AMROD/LIFEAT		

ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES

Action Required: Pass to Thomas G. URQUHART

Forwarded herewith is verbatim conversation between [redacted]
and [redacted] on 20 November 1963. (Source: LIFEAT)

Willard C. CURTIS

Attachments:
As stated - Herewith

Distribution:
2 - DCWHD/C w/att (h/w)
1 - C/WHD w/o/att
3 - Files

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

DATE


HISMA 27883

15 December 1963

SECRET/KAPOK


HQS FILE NUMBER

201 - 020481











DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET		PROCESSING ACTION	
TO Chief, WII Division				MARKED FOR INDEXING	
INFO.				XX NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
FROM Chief of Station, Mexico City				ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
SUBJECT [REDACTED]				MICROFILM	
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES					
<p>1. Attached for Headquarters' information and retention are copies of the LIFEAT coverage on Subject, reel #269 through reel #272 for the period 2 through 14 July 1965 and reel #1 through reel #16 for the period 31 July through 16 August 1965.</p> <p>2. This coverage was temporarily discontinued from 15 through 30 July because of technical difficulties with the instrument in the [REDACTED]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachment: As stated in paragraph 1</p> <p>Distribution: 3 - Chief, WII, w/att</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Tiles Limer Pool</i></p>					
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		DATE			
IRMA-26,933		19 August 1965			
CLASSIFICATION		HQS FILE NUMBER			
SECRET		201-745927			

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION	
		SECRET		MARKED FOR INDEXING
TO	Chief, EED		YES	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO	Chief, WHD			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City			MICROFILM
SUBJECT	DMILET/LIFEAT/Operational			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES	Transmittal of LIFEAT Tapes and Transcripts			
<p>1. Forwarded herewith for translation of the [] portions are the following LIFEAT tapes: 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14. Also forwarded are the following [] transcripts: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14.</p> <p>2. Because of the DMSAUGE/1 flap, the [] transcripts of the LIFEAT tapes will continue thru the end of this week (10 April), after that there will be no transcribing done in the field.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p><u>Attachment:</u> 1. As Stated (HEREWITH)</p> <p><u>Distribution:</u> 2 - EED w/att h/w X - WHD w/o/att 2 - Files</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>FILE</i> <i>LIFEAT Prod.</i></p>				
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		DATE	
	HMMA-25814		8 April 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION		FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET		SC-2-2/9	

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>			
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>February 1963</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY <u>State</u>	
CHIEF COUNTRY <u>Yugoslavia/Mexico</u>		DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/538,352</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>44000-244-2</u>
SUBJECT <u>Yugoslav Commercial Activities in Mexico</u>			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u>10</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A. <input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS. We would appreciate your comments evaluating this report.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY.		As a status report, document is of moderate value. Generally negative progress of Yugo attempts to establish a commercial foothold in Mexico not in itself of great interest to this office, at this time, but would increase in interest in direct relation to information on decision of Belgrade to grant a loan to Mexican govt.	
C. ACCURACY: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication.			
E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
F. OTHER REPORTING MEETS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
G. (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY.			
H. (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.) <input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <u>P. Adler</u>	COMPONENT <u>INR/RSE/EA</u>	PHONE <u>x 3503</u>
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED. <u>Mar 1</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>Mar 6</u>	

CONFIDENTIAL
(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA PROVIDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>State Department</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>27 January 1961</u>		DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/463,061</u>	<i>Leifer</i> <i>10 Apr 61</i>
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Cuba</u>		FIELD NUMBER <u>HM-5400</u>	
Subject <u>Mexican Technicians Going to Work in Cuba</u>			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <u>priority</u> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A. <input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
C. ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. DOUBTFUL <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FALSE <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		This report would have been of greater value if it had included some comment on the political orientation of the technicians (if any) and whether they were being sent by the Mexican Government or were hired on a purely personal basis.	
D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication			
E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
F. OTHER REPORTING MEETS:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
G. (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
H. (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	<u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u> DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u>	<u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u> DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u>	<u>182-2097</u>

CONFIDENTIAL
(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR) RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO (A) (ED BELOW)

LIFEAT
1/16/60

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY						
DATE OF DISTRIB.	2 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3,154,933 <i>PAI</i>					
Chief Country	Mexico/Nicaragua	FIELD NUMBER	HMM-5264					
Subject <u>Nicaraguan Revolutionary Activities in Mexico</u>								
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is critical /standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
A <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4" style="width: 50%; text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO		
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:								
C ACCURACY.								
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION.								
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
F OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:								
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:								
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)								
H <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.		2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.				
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.								
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR		COMPONENT	PHONE					
NAME (Please print) RT Morrison		INR/RAR-P	3327					
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED Nov 15/60		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED Nov. 16/60						

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/E. UNIT: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

Department of State

EVALUATING AGENCY

DATE OF
DISTRIB.

1 November 1960

DISSEM.
NUMBER

CS-3/454,903

Chief

Country

Mexico/Cuba

FIELD
NUMBER

HMM-5245

Subject

Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is ~~XXXX~~ standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

- A
- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. Major |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. MODERATE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. LITTLE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. NO |

IMPORTANCE IN
RELATION TO
OUR NEEDS.

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. SIGNIFICANTLY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. SUBSTANTIALLY |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. MODERATELY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. NEGLIGIBLY |

C ACCURACY:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. CREDIBLE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. Doubtful |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. False |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D. |

D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. Sufficiently known |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 5. Conflicts with previous information |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 6. Appears to be fabrication |

E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. More detail |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. Less detail, more summary |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. Less fragmentary approach |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. Better organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS. |

OUR COLLECTION NEEDS

F OTHER REPORTING MEETS:

- | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS. |

G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:

- | | |
|--------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. NEGLIGIBLY |

H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING. |

EVALUATOR

NAME (Please print)

RT Morrison

COMPONENT

INTR ARRP

PHONE

3327

DATE REQUEST RECEIVED

Nov 18 1960

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

Nov 18 1960

CONFIDENTIAL
(DECLASSIFY/RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO 1.4.A ADDED BELOW)

*1/FEAT
Prud Solder*

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY _____		OSI								
<small>EVALUATING AGENCY</small>										
DATE OF DISTRIB. 26 September 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER CS 3/451,014	<i>Leif 18 June 61</i>								
Chief Country Mexico/Soviet Union	FIELD NUMBER EM4 5159									
Subject Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes										
<p><small>EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Aim for completion within this time is XXXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.</small></p>										
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS								
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:30%;"> 1. Major 2. Moderate 3. Little 4. No </td> <td style="width:70%; vertical-align: middle;"> IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS. </td> </tr> </table>		1. Major 2. Moderate 3. Little 4. No	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
1. Major 2. Moderate 3. Little 4. No	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.									
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:										
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. SIGNIFICANTLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. MODERATELY	4. NEGLIGIBLY					
1. SIGNIFICANTLY										
2. SUBSTANTIALLY										
3. MODERATELY										
4. NEGLIGIBLY										
ACCURACY:										
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td>2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS			
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE										
2. CREDIBLE										
3. Doubtful										
4. False										
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.										
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:										
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information	6. Appears to be fabrication			
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION										
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION										
3. Sufficiently known										
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS										
5. Conflicts with previous information										
6. Appears to be fabrication										
USEFULNESS COULD HAVE BEEN GREATER BY:										
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1. more detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail, more summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</td></tr> <tr><td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>		1. more detail	2. Less detail, more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		
1. more detail										
2. Less detail, more summary										
3. Less fragmentary approach										
4. Better organization										
5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.										
6. (STATED IN COMMENT)										
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.										
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS										
OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:										
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> </table>				1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.										
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.										
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.										
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.										
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:										
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>				1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY			
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.										
2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.										
3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES.										
4. NEGLIGIBLY										
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)										
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND NO REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>				1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND NO REPORTING.					
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON										
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND NO REPORTING.										
E2		Coordinated with LSD/SI _____								
EVALUATOR <i>ON</i> <small>DATE REQUEST RECEIVED</small>		COMPONENT OSI/MSD	PHONE 3955							
		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <i>PC</i>								

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

LIFEAT
Production

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		United States Information Agency	
DATE OF DISTRIB.		DISSEM. NUMBER	EVALUATING AGENCY
1 November 1960		CS-3/454,933	
Chief Country	Mexico/Cuba	FIELD NUMBER	1004-5245
Subject: Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is XXXX standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Major <input type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
C ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		Information on Cuban propaganda activities in Latin America is of major and continuing importance to this office, which must evaluate the nature, scope, and extent of these activities in order to combat them.	
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <i>Sufficiently known</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
F OTHER REPORTING MEETS:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	Flora M. Roth	Office of Research and Analysis, USIA	182-5475
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
	November 8, 1960	November 14, 1960	

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

LIFE AT
Red Goldsby

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State	
DATE OF REPORT		DISSEM. NUMBER	
3 October 1960	CS-3/L51,671	<i>Import</i>	
Office Country	Mexico/Poland	FIELD NUMBER	EEA-5186
		4 10-60	
Subject Projected Arrival in Mexico of Polish Travel Officials			
(EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <u>mandatory</u> . Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in <u>italics</u> , please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A <input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS. • Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination?	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
C ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. DOUBTFUL <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FALSE <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		<i>Information of this nature is of value to RAR, for it provides new data and/or corroboration of information from other sources on Latin American - Bloc contacts. RAR has continuing interest in receipt of reports of this nature.</i>	
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER IF:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. MORE DETAIL <input type="checkbox"/> 2. LESS DETAIL, MORE SUMMARY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH <input type="checkbox"/> 4. BETTER ORGANIZATION <input type="checkbox"/> 5. BETTER OBSERVATION or better explanation of source, or both <input type="checkbox"/> 6. STATED IN COMMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
F OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS			
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED) REPORT SATISFIED ITS:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED <input type="checkbox"/> 2. PARTIALLY. PORTION OF REQUIREMENT MAY BE CLOSED <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT):			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	<i>[Signature]</i>	IMP/RAR-6	67
DATE REPORT RECEIVED		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
10 OCT 1960		OCT 1960	

CONFIDENTIAL
(COLLECTOR'S E/A TOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO A ADDED BELOW)

*LIFEAT
Production*

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		ORR		Rec'd OR 5-1-60				
DATE OF DISTRIB.		DISSEM. NUMBER		EVALUATING AGENCY				
26 September 1960		CS-3/251,011		<i>LIFEAT</i>				
Chief Country		FIELD NUMBER		31 Oct 60				
Mexico/Soviet Union		RMX-5259						
Subject Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes								
The 27 October 1960								
<p>EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Used for completion within this time is XXXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.</p>								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:			COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS					
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: middle;"> IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS. </td> </tr> </table>			<input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.				
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY								
ACCURACY:			EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS					
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE 9.			<p>It is impossible to tell if the USSR prices to Mexico are in line with world prices or not. According to a 1957 Soviet price list, potassium is 3 rubles per millicurie, iodine is 8-to 13 rubles per millicurie and gold is 1 to 3 rubles per millicurie depending upon the form of the carrier. According to the report the average price is \$0.15 per millicurie. The quantities of each isotope however, are not given.</p> <p>Radio isotope prices have changed in the US markedly over the past few years. For example, the price for gold 193 has changed from 20 cents per millicurie prior to May 1959 to 6 cents per millicurie after May 1959. Unless more detailed information is obtained, the information has only moderate value to us.</p>					
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication <input type="checkbox"/> 7. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both <input type="checkbox"/> 6. STATED IN COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS:								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. REPORTING MEETS: <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
<input type="checkbox"/> 6. IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT MEETS IT: <input type="checkbox"/> 7. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 8. PARTIALLY. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 9. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 10. NEGLIGIBLY								
<input type="checkbox"/> 11. NEED IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER RE- <input type="checkbox"/> 12. NEED DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND <input type="checkbox"/> 13. WILL FILE NO REQUIREMENT. <input type="checkbox"/> 14. WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 15. NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIRE- <input type="checkbox"/> 16. WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.								
NAME (Please print)						COMPONENT		PHONE
						OSI/AF/1		x3942
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED								

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/STAFF)

R: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DA

ADDED ON FRONT

17 JUN 1960

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

ORR

EVALUATING AGENCY

ISSUE NUMBER

OS-3/439,054

DATE OF DISTRIB.

1 June 1960

FIELD NUMBER

HON-1943

Chief Country Mexico/Soviet Union

Date

July 1960

19 July 60

Subject New Policy of Soviet Commercial Office in Mexico

EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X". If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

- A. ☐ 1. Major
☒ 2. MODERATE
☐ 3. LITTLE
☐ 4. NO

IMPORTANCE IN
RELATION TO
CIA NEEDS.

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

- ☐ 1. SIGNIFICANTLY
☒ 2. MODERATELY
☐ 3. NEGLIGIBLY

C. ACCURACY:

- ☐ 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE
☒ 2. CREDIBLE
☐ 3. Doubtful
☐ 4. False
☐ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS

D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:

- ☐ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION
☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION
☐ 3. Sufficiently known
☐ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION
 TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS
☐ 5. Conflicts with previous information
☐ 6. Appears to be fabrication

E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

- ☐ 1. More detail.
☐ 2. Less detail; more summary
☐ 3. Less fragmentary approach
☐ 4. Better organization
☐ 5. Better observation or better exploitation
 of source, or both.
☐ 6. I STATED IN COMMENT
☐ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTS USEFULNESS

REQUIREMENTS

F. ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED: REPORT SATISFIES IT:

- ☐ 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.
☐ 2. Partially met. If requirement
 may be closed.
☐ 3. PARTIALLY. IT CONTINUES.
☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY

G. DEGREE TO WHICH THE SUBJECT REPORTING PROVIDER ASSENTS TO INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:

- ☐ 1. FULLY
☐ 2. SUBSTANTIAL
☒ 3. LITTLE
☐ 4. NONE

H. IF IN D YOU MARKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE NO REQUIREMENT:

- ☐ 1. IF YOU ASSENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 3 DAYS.
☒ 2. IF YOU DO NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR RE-REPORTING.

NAME (Please print)

COMPONENT

ORR/S/TF/SP

PHONE

5036

REQUEST RECEIVED

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

CONFIDENTIAL
(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR) RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA SET ON FRONT 29 JUL

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

CSB

EVALUATING AGENCY

SYSTEM NUMBER

CS-3/439,528

DATE OF DIRECT

8 June 1960

FIELD NUMBER

HSM-4963

Chief Country

Mexico/Soviet Union

Date 15 July 1960

Subject Disagreement between Mexican Businessman and Soviet Commercial Officer over Money Owed for Traders Purchased by the Mexican Government

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. See for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "1." if item named is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

1. Major

2. Moderate

3. Little

4. No

IMPORTANCE IN
RELATION TO
OUR NEEDS

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

CONTRIBUTED TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

1. SIGNIFICANTLY

2. MODERATELY

3. NEGLIGIBLY

C ACCURACY

1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE

2. CREDIBLE

3. Doubtful

4. False

5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.

D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION

1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION

2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION

3. Sufficiently known

4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS

5. Conflicts with previous information

6. Appears to be fabrication

E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

1. More detail

2. Less detail, more summary

3. Less fragmentary approach

4. Better organization

5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both

6. (STATED IN COMMENT)

7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS

F REQUIREMENTS

(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, ASPECT SATISFIES IT)

1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.

2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.

3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.

4. NEGLIGIBLY

G DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:

1. FULLY

2. SUBSTANTIALLY

3. LITTLE

4. NONE

H IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE, ADD NO REQUIREMENT.

1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.

2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.

NAME (Please print)

EVALUATOR

DA

COMPONENT

ORR/S/TF/SP

PHONE

8036

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

11 July 1960

(1/3) **CONFIDENTIAL** *Rec in ORR*
 (COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR) RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA *960116* (SEE FRONT)


CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY							
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/43L, 30L</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>18 April 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HCC-4858</u>	<i>S. J. ...</i>						
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		<u>Due 17 May 1960</u>							
Subject <u>Soviet Plan to Sell Newspaper to Mexican Government Agency</u>									
<p>EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." normally, put <i>yes</i> in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these spaces in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.</p>									
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.							
<p>A</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. MAJOR</td> <td rowspan="4" style="font-size: 2em; vertical-align: middle;">}</td> <td rowspan="4" style="vertical-align: middle;">IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NONE</td> </tr> </table>		1. MAJOR	}	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	2. MODERATE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE	4. NONE		
1. MAJOR	}	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
2. MODERATE									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE									
4. NONE									
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:									
<p>B</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. NEGLIGIBLY</td> </tr> </table>		1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY	3. NEGLIGIBLY					
1. SIGNIFICANTLY									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY									
3. NEGLIGIBLY									
C		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS							
<p>ACCURACY:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. CREDIBLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Doubtful</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. False</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> </tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE									
2. CREDIBLE									
3. Doubtful									
4. False									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.									
<p>D</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. RELAY ON TO OTHER INFORMATION.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Sufficiently known</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Conflicts with previous information</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. Appears to be fabrication</td> </tr> </table>		1. RELAY ON TO OTHER INFORMATION.	2. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	3. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	4. Sufficiently known	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	6. Conflicts with previous information	7. Appears to be fabrication	
1. RELAY ON TO OTHER INFORMATION.									
2. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION									
3. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION									
4. Sufficiently known									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS									
6. Conflicts with previous information									
7. Appears to be fabrication									
<p>E</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. More detail</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Less detail, more summary</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Better organization</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. STATED IN COMMENTS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td> </tr> </table>		1. More detail	2. Less detail, more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both	6. STATED IN COMMENTS	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	
1. More detail									
2. Less detail, more summary									
3. Less fragmentary approach									
4. Better organization									
5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both									
6. STATED IN COMMENTS									
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.									
<p>F</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUED.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> </tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED	2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUED.	4. NEGLIGIBLY				
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED									
2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.									
3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUED.									
4. NEGLIGIBLY									
<p>G</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. FULLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE</td> </tr> </table>		1. FULLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. LITTLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE				
1. FULLY									
2. SUBSTANTIALLY									
3. LITTLE									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE									
<p>H</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> </tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.						
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.									
EVALUATOR		COMPONENT	PHONE						
<u>NAME (Please print)</u>		<u>ORR JMS</u>	<u>4245</u>						
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED							
		<u>26 May 60</u> <i>HP</i>							

SECRET

(When Filled In)

W43A

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE

1. SUBJECT OR DISPOSITION		2. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION			
OPERATIONAL/LAUREL		3. RI FILE NO.	4. 50	5. 6	6. 32/4
		7. DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.			8. DATE
		RIMA-15007			18 May 1960
9. ANALYST		10. DATE PROCESSED		11. DISSEMINATED IN	
12. DOCUMENT DISPOSITION					
13. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE			14. TRANSFERRED TO RI FILE NO.		
15. PERTINENT INFORMATION					
<p>THIS REFERENCE SECRET DOCUMENT FILED IN THE SECRET CONTROL SECTION BY TS-17500</p>					
18 May 1960					
16. FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO					

CONFIDENTIAL

file LIFEAT Train

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO D/ ADDED ON FRONT)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u> <u>Recd 4 March</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY							
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/428,266</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>25 March 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HMM-4761</u>	<u>Subj</u>						
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		<u>48 June</u>							
Subject <u>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</u>		<u>25 March 1960</u>							
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u> </u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.									
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS							
A <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO			
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE									
3. LITTLE									
4. NO									
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:									
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:									
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. NEGLIGIBLY</td> </tr> </table>		1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY	3. NEGLIGIBLY					
1. SIGNIFICANTLY									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY									
3. NEGLIGIBLY									
C ACCURACY:									
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Doubtful</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. False</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> </tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE									
3. Doubtful									
4. False									
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.									
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Sufficiently known</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Confirms other previous information</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td> </tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Confirms other previous information	6. Appears to be fabrication		
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION									
3. Sufficiently known									
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS									
5. Confirms other previous information									
6. Appears to be fabrication									
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:									
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. More detail</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Less detail. More summary</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Better organization</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Included in comments</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td> </tr> </table>		1. More detail	2. Less detail. More summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.	6. Included in comments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	
1. More detail									
2. Less detail. More summary									
3. Less fragmentary approach									
4. Better organization									
5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.									
6. Included in comments									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.									
F REQUIREMENTS:									
ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIES IT.									
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. FULLY. REQ. FORM. TO BE CLOSED</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Partial. Portion of requirement may be met.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. PARTIAL. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> </tr> </table>				1. FULLY. REQ. FORM. TO BE CLOSED	2. Partial. Portion of requirement may be met.	3. PARTIAL. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY		
1. FULLY. REQ. FORM. TO BE CLOSED									
2. Partial. Portion of requirement may be met.									
3. PARTIAL. BUT NEED CONTINUES.									
4. NEGLIGIBLY									
G DECIDE TO WHICH OTHER (S) REPORTING PROVIDES THE VITAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:									
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. FULLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NONE</td> </tr> </table>				1. FULLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. LITTLE	4. NONE		
1. FULLY									
2. SUBSTANTIALLY									
3. LITTLE									
4. NONE									
H IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:									
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> </tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.						
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.									
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.									
EVALUATOR									
NAME (PLEASE PRINT)									
COMPONENT		PHONE							
CRR/M/AG		X3552							
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED									
15 Mar. 1960		29 Mar. 1960							

CONFIDENTIAL *SECRET file LIFEAT PRO*
(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR OF: RELIABILITY AND OBJECTIVITY TO DA (ADDED ON FRONT))

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY						
FILE NO. <u>5-3/223,266</u>	DATE OF DISSEM. <u>19 February 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>1891-4761</u>	<i>Lifpat</i>					
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		<i>15 Mar 60</i>						
Subject: <u>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</u>								
<p>EVALUATOR: please complete this evaluation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Used for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.</p>								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Moderate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Little</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. No</td> </tr> </table>		1. Major	} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	2. Moderate	3. Little	4. No	<p>Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination? Please comment.</p>	
1. Major	} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
2. Moderate								
3. Little								
4. No								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
<p>1. CONTRIBUTED TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:</p> <p>1. Significant</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate</p> <p>3. Little</p> <p>4. No</p>		<p>Information of the circumstances is available from the press is often difficult to obtain in sufficient detail. Although the general nature of this information was obtainable elsewhere, the more complete information here is of value in evaluating the extent and scope of possible Soviet involvement in the area.</p>						
<p>2. CREDIBILITY:</p> <p>1. Excellent</p> <p>2. Good</p> <p>3. Fair</p> <p>4. Poor</p> <p>5. Cannot be judged</p>		<p>Information of this similar type is available from other sources, but is not as reliable. Are other such sources providing purchases of cotton growing?</p>						
<p>3. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:</p> <p>1. Useful for comparison</p> <p>2. Useful for new information</p> <p>3. Sufficiently known</p> <p>4. Of possible use for other information to which already of usefulness</p> <p>5. Conflicts with previous information</p> <p>6. Appears to be fabrication</p>								
<p>4. REPORT IS WORTHY OF BEING CITED WITH:</p> <p>1. No detail</p> <p>2. Some detail, good summary</p> <p>3. Good fragmentary approach</p> <p>4. Partially significant</p> <p>5. Partial contribution to better understanding of source or both</p> <p>6. Noted in comments</p> <p>7. Of great qualitative usefulness</p>								
<p>5. REQUIREMENTS:</p> <p>1. If your requirement is cited & reported satisfied by:</p> <p>1. Fully requirement can be filled</p> <p>2. Partially portion of requirement can be filled</p> <p>3. Partially but not completely</p> <p>4. Not at all</p>								
<p>6. RECOMMENDATION (Indicate appropriate response to request for information on subject):</p> <p>1. Fully</p> <p>2. Substantially</p> <p>3. Little</p> <p>4. None</p>								
<p>7. IF IN A "NO" CATEGORY "Little" or "None," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:</p> <p>1. DO NOT PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 90 DAYS</p> <p>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CO REPORTING</p>								
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED					
	<u>Donald P. Swisher</u>	<u>Feb 24, 1960</u>	<u>March 4, 1960</u>					

Leah

29 Feb 60

10, 41

15 December 550

CS 3121,511

15
H.M.M. - 4567
15 February 1960

SECRET
PROCESSED

REPORT: Soviet Commercial Activities in Mexico

Area of Interest	Source of Information	Comments
1. General Information	1. Commercial	1. General Information
2. Specific Information	2. Industrial	2. Specific Information
3. Economic Information	3. Financial	3. Economic Information
4. Political Information	4. Cultural	4. Political Information
5. Social Information	5. Scientific	5. Social Information
6. Other Information	6. Other	6. Other Information

What specific aspects of this report are of use? Of value? Please indicate and comment.

Information on the type of machinery offered or sold to Mexico or to Latin America is available from overt sources.
Information on prices is interesting and useful. Price quotations would be more helpful if specifications as well as serial numbers were available.
Information indicating whether Soviet prices conform to or vary from world market prices.
Information on goods that the Soviets are interested in buying is of some importance, although this is generally available from overt sources.

SECRET


office, See H90W 8486, 11 Feb 1960, filed C/WHD's


This dispatch was written by FI/D in reply to H90A 14362, 20 Jan 1960 and should be reviewed before LIFEAT or LITKIT are submitted for renewal.

DISPATCH

LIFEAT/mcm prod
LITKIT/ops

SECRET









SECRET

From: Mexico, Mexico City Report No: HM-1831 Local File No: _____

No. of Pages: 1 No. of Enclosures: 1 (for Station files only)

Report Made By: Thomas G. URQUHART Approved By: Willard C. CURTIS

Distribution:
By copy to: 3 - Hqs(1 for KUNRAP) Orally to:
2 - Files(1 w/att)

Source Cryptonym: A. LIKAYAK-2 References: HM-1765, 12 Sep. 1956, p.9
S. LIFEAT/LIMERICK

Source, Operational Data, and Comments:

SUBJECT:

Source obtained the information contained in the attached report from

is being included in this Station's series of LCHTROVE subjects.

Classification

SECRET

FORM NO. 37-50
FEB 1946

1 COPY

Sc-6-32/4
SL-6-32/4

